|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Group No** | 20 | | | | | |
| **Project Title** |  | | | | | |
| **Supervisor’s Name** | Dr(Mrs) S M K D Arunathileka | | | | | |
| **Co-Supervisor’s Name** | Ms L Gayanthika | | | | | |
| **Group Meeting Number** | 1 | **Date** | | 02/05/2020 | | |
| **Summary of work carried out during last two weeks** | | | | | | |
| 1. Identified a problem 2. sup 3. Studied about some existing solutions 4. Identified some shortcomings in the existing solutions. | | | | | | |
| **Individual Contribution for last two weeks** | | | | | | |
| K A H C Kumarasinghe | Briefly but clearly state the contribution of the member during last two weeks (What he or she has done in relation to what he or she accepted in previous meeting?). Please don’t state elementary level work you have done. Identify task level work and state it here. For example  a). Completed business logic for use cases Reservation, Registration, etc.  b). Modified initial database schema based on aforesaid requirements | | | | | |
| P P S Prasanthika | Absent (If the particular member didn’t appear for the meeting and the group couldn’t contact the member to get his/her details) | | | | | |
| W K D N P Wijesiri | Customer registration module implementation. 10% completed. | | | | | |
| A W K B Gunathilaka |  | | | | | |
| K S A Kinivita | <------- Remove this row if your group doesn’t have 5th member ----> | | | | | |
| **Meeting outcomes** | | | | | | |
| * Discussed about all the ideas we had. * Came across with a solid idea as the project subject * Discussed about the work to be done in the upcoming two weeks | | | | | | |
| **Responsibilities Accepted by group members for the next two weeks** | | | | | | |
| 1. K A H C Kumarasinghe | Use case diagram- user 1  Technical feasibility study  Drawing component diagrams | | | | | |
| 1. P P S Prasanthika | Use case diagram- user 2  Economic feasibility study  Typing the project scope description | | | | | |
| 1. W K D N P Wijesiri | Use case diagram- user 3  Legal and Ethical feasibility study  Identification of quality attributes | | | | | |
| 1. A W K B Gunathilaka | Use case diagram- user 4  Operational feasibility study  Typing the problem statement | | | | | |
| 1. K S A Kinivita | Use case diagram- user 5  Schedule feasibility study  Drawing activity diagrams | | | | | |
| **Supervisor’s/ Co-Supervisor’s Remark** | | | | | | |
| Supervisor’s or Mentor’s remarks regarding the meeting outcome, progress made, work distribution, individual contribution and any other important aspects. | | | | | | |
| **Supervisor’s and Co- Supervisor’s opinion about the progress** | | | Satisfactory | | Unsatisfactory | |
| **Co-Supervisor’s** signature | | |  | | | |
| Supervisor’s signature | | |  | | | |
| **Any Other Notes** | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | |
| **Attendance of the group members** | | | | | | |
| **Student Name** | | | **Index No.** | | | **Signature** |
| 1). | | |  | | |  |
| 2). | | |  | | |  |
| 3). | | |  | | |  |
| 4). | | |  | | |  |
| 5). <------- Remove this row if no 5th member ----> | | |  | | |  |